

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/653,827	7 09/01/2000		Glenn D. Rasmussen	240703-1110	6068
23506	7590	05/06/2004		EXAMINER	
GARDNE		•	TO, BAOQUOC N		
PAPER MII 600 VILLA		GE, BUILDING 23 E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 300			2172	12	
MARIETTA, GA 30067				DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/653,827	RASMUSSEN, GLE	NN D.
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Baoquoc N To	2172	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the contract of the con	ation. A proper reply places the applica	y to a ition in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo		ind an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-44.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		1 0
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer 10. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	ALFORD KIN	
			•

7



Applica

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The applicant(s) amended claims 1, 9, 35-36 and 43-44, claims "a lower layer of a metadata model having the lower layer containing one or more model objects having a lower degree of abstraction and a higher layer containing one more model objects having a higher degree of abstraction and rules for representing a business concept", "in a metadata model having a data access layer model objects having a lower degree of abstraction, a business layer containing business model objects having a higher degree of abstraction compared to the data access model objects, and a package layer containing package model objects" and "of a metadata model having multiple layers including the lower layer containing one or more model objects having a lower layer containing one or more model objects having a higher degree of abstraction." Although, these limitations are removed from the preamble and replaced them in the body of the claims; however, new search is required.